NOT THE DAUGHTER BUT THE MOTHER OF ORD

Vol. I.

dr. coof of the second of the

re-ing ted and its and oth,

BOSTON, MASS., SATURDAY, FEBRUARY 18, 1882.

No. 15.

" For always in thins eyes, O Liberty!

Shines that high light whereby the world is sav.

And though thou slay us, we will trust in thee." JOHN HAY.

On Picket Duty.

"Society," some one has truly said, " is produced by our wants, and government by our wickedness."

The New England "Methodist" illustrates the singular unwillingness of O. B. Frothingham to define his position, in view of the fact that he has avowed a new one, by the story of the Irish lad who fell into a deep well, and, when his father called to know if he were dead, replied: "Not dead, father,

An exchange tells us that a rich Italian land-owner resorts to an obsolete feudal custom of making his laborers wear iron muzzles during the grape harvest to prevent them from tasting the grapes. The stock-holders and directors of horse-railways who make their conductors use bell-punches to prevent them from "knocking down" fares will probably be the first to boisterously brand this Italian's conduct as a relic of the dark ages, which could have survived nowhere else than in an "effete monarchy of the old world,"

"It is as safe a prediction as any that we are able to picture to ourselves in European politics to say that the Irish peasant and the Irish landlord will have as completely reversed their relations of every kind to one another between the year 1880 and the year 1900 as did the French peasant and the French lord between 1789 and 1794." Some may think this a bold prophecy on the part of Mr. John Morley, but in the eyes of Liberty it is not as bold as the truth, which is that before the year 1900 landlords of every civilized nationality will have disappeared from the face of the

One of the grandest of revolutionary anniversaries agair draws near, the eleventh of the foundation of the glorious Paris Commune. The Internationalists and Anarchists of New York have been actively preparing for its commemoration, and will give a grand concert and ball in its honor Saturday evening, March 18, at Irving Hall, New York. Fine musical talent has been secured, and no pains will be spared for the achievement of a success worthy of the occasion. Family tickets may be had for twenty-five cents, the proceeds of the sale to be devoted to the Asile Laigue Français and to the revolutionary cause in Russia The time will come when the peoples of the earth will unite in adopting the Eighteenth of March as a day of international festival.

All believers in the State, however much they may try to disguise it, or however it may be disguised beyond their recognition, believe that " might makes right." In the last analysis, they invariably hold that the State may rightfully do that which it would be wrong for an individual to do; in other words, that morality is entirely independent of justice, and may be made and unmade by the human will. Here is an instance, taken from instructions issued to General Burbridge by General Sherman in 1864, the publication of which a personal controversy has lately led to: "You may inform all your post and district commanders that guerillas are not soldiers, but wild

nized as soldiers they must be enlisted, enrolled, officered, uniformed, armed, and equipped by some recognized belligerent power, and must, if detached from a moving army, be of sufficient strength with written orders from some army commander to do some military thing" Thus, General Sherman and his army of soldiers, who went "marching through Georgia" destroying other people's property and taking other people's lives, were honest patriots and humane gentlemen, because they did these things under the sanction of the State; but Colonel Mosby and his band of guerillas, who did things precisely similar, but in an irregular way, were thieves and murderers and wild beasts, because they acted on their own responsibility.

Senator Edmunds of Vermont says that, in the mat ter of finance, there are four courses open to us. We must, he asserts, either continue the national bank notes, or substitute the old state bank notes for them or issue a national currency from the treasury, or confine ourselves to coin money. These four, and no more, argues the wise senator. But he is wrong He has overlooked a fifth thing which we may do, namely, abolish all that we have done, and do nothing more. Whatever may be the proper functions of covernment, to supply the people with money is certainly not one of them. The people are entirely com-petent and willing to make their own money, if the government will only leave them to do it. And they will make much better and cheaper money than the government can. Here, as in every other branch of manufacture or business, the superiority of private enterprise will manifest itself. The government might just as well make our hats and our shoes and our bread and our books and our pictures as our money. On this point the State socialists are consistent, and have the advantage over such governmental financiers as oppose them, for greenbackism and national bankism are but phases of compulsory communism. The first condition of a true system of finance is Liberty.

Our friend George Chainey has been talking at random again. In accepting as genuine Oscar Wilde's profession of discipleship to John Ruskin, he unintentionally but inexcusably slanders the latter. Chainey may champion any humbug that he likes, that is comparatively a small matter, — but he has no right to saddle the humbug on the shoulders of sincere and noble men. Oscar Wilde's art teachings show that his knowledge of Ruskin's thought is of the most superficial nature, and Mr. Chainey's identification of the two shows that he is incapable of distinguishing between fundamentally opposite schools of art. The character of a school of art depends primarily on its conception of the purpose of art. What is the conception held by the true æstheticism which John Ruskin stands for? Mr. Chainey answers rightly: "To Ruskin nothing was beautiful that was not at the same time in some way useful to either the physical, intellectual, or moral elevation of society. It must either state a true thing or adorn a serviceable one. It must never exist alone, never for itself It exists rightly only when it is the means of knowledge or the grace of agency for life." What is the conception held by the false æstheticism which Oscar Wilde stands for? Hear Mr. Wilde himself; " Any element of morals or implied reference to a standard of good beasts, unknown to the usages of war. To be recog- or evil in art is a sign of a certain incompleteness of

vision. . . . Poems are either well written or badly written; that is all . . . All good work aims at a purely artistic effect. . . . True art exists for art's Two schools of art founded on principles so diametrically opposite as these must necessarily differ as widely as two schools of religion founded one on authority and the other on Liberty. Yet Mr. Chainey pronounces them one and the same. With as much reason might he indorse any professed disciple of Darwin who should teach that the existence of each species is due to a separate act of creation. And the insult to the master would be no greater than that which he has offered to Ruskin. To accept and pass the counterfeit is to clip the genuine coin. But habit is strong. Mr. Chainey has not been long out of the pulpit, and snap judgments, we suppose, must be expected from him for some time yet.

The Philadelphia " Labor World " says that " govrnments are becoming more liberal, laws more just and comprehensive, obstacles to advancement are disappearing, and opportunities for the gathering of wealth are multiplying." Liberty is glad to be assured that such fine things are going on, but confesses to a little curiosity regarding the proofs thereof. The latest observations taken in England, Russia, Germany, France, and the United States had led us to bolieve that just at present governments are becoming more illiberal, laws more unjust and narrow. obstacles to advancement are multiplying, and opportunities for the gathering of wealth are being confined to fewer and fewer persons. Not that we were without confident expectation of an approaching turn in events; otherwise were Liberty without an occupation. But the order of the day had seemed to us to be a tightening of the chains, a strengthening of the barriers, and a riveting of the yokes. Will the "Labor World" tell us on what grounds we should change our opinion?

There is food for serious thought in the statistics furnished by Professor Leone Levi to the British Association for the Advancement of Science, bearing on the relation between the economical condition of the people and their height and weight. Town actisans appeared from the returns to be of an average height of 66.55; the laboring class, 67.15; the commercial class, 67.79; and the professional, 68.70. In weight the town artisans again stood lowest, with 136.2 lbs., the other statistics being: laboring class, 137.8; commercial, 143.9; professional, 152.7. No less instructive are the investigations of Baron Kolb of Germany, who found that, of 1,000 well-to-do persons and 1,000 poor persons, there remained of the prosperous, after five years, 943, while of the poor but 655 remained alivo. After fifty years there remained of the prosperous 557, and of the poor only 283. At seventy years of age there remained 235 of the prosperous, while the number of the poor yet living was but 65. The average length of life among the well-to-do was found to be fifty years, and of the poor thirty-two years. Do not their stunted stature and shrunken stomachs and the frightful rate of mortality among them conclusively prove that the workers of the them conclusively prove that the book star-world are being put through a process of slow star-vation, while the food that they produce goes to swell the bellies of the men who steal it? The phrase "bloated bondholders" contains an element of literal accuracy hitherto undreamed of.

Liberty.

Issued Fortnightly at Fifty Cents a Year; Single Copies
Two Cents.

BENJ. R. TUCKER, EDITOR AND PUBLISHER.

Office of Publication, 18 P. O. Square.
Post Office Address: LIBERTY, P. O. Box No. 3368, Boston, Masse

Entered as Second Class Mail Matter.

BOSTON, MASS., FEBRUARY 18, 1882.

"A free man is one who enjoys the use of his reason and his faculties; who is neither blinded by passion, nor hindered or driven by oppression, nor deceived by erroneous opinions."—PROUDHON.

God's Wicked Partners.

Charles Guiteau claims that he is the Lord's part ner, and that the Almighty was accessory before the fact to the killing of Garfield. For this Mr. Guiteau is bitterly denounced by Christians as a blaspheme and an impious wretch, and regarded with holy horror by the Lord's anointed. These good people are inconsistent. They have addressed to the throne of grace such remarks as this: "Oh Lord! Thou hast in Thine infinite wisdom seen fit to chasten us by removing our beloved leader and taking him unto Thine own bosom. Humbly we bow before thee, and murmur, Thy will be done!" If such pulpit utterances signify anything and are not mere gospel gush intended to flatter the Almighty by conveying the impression that the speakers would not for a mon suppose that anything could be done on earth without his knowledge or consent, they mean that the killing of Garfield was the act of God, that the murder was deliberately planned by Omnipotence for some inscrutable reason, and that it was executed in furtherance of and in accordance with some sacred scheme for the good of the world. If the Christian god is omnipotent, he could have prevented the killing, and the fact that he did not do so indicates that he desired the death of President Garfield. Guiteau according to Christian doctrine, merely executed the will of God. It cannot be argued reasonably that he was merely the blind instrument of God, and that God simply permitted him to follow the course that his wicked passions and malignant heart dictated, leaving him responsible for the deed as for the mo tives that prompted it: for Guiteau had no personal motive, and has asserted repeatedly that God com-manded him to kill Garfield. He was in the confi dence of the Almighty from the beginning. If it was God's will that Garfield should die, God was the instigator of the homicide, and Guiteau was his partner. If the killing was the most damnable and atrocious crime in history, then God is the most atrocious villain the world ever heard of, and Guitear is no more responsible than the bullet which inflicted the death wound,

But God's inconsistent apologists argue that there is no evidence of the copartnership beyond Guiteau's own assertions, and that the Almighty would never select as his partner a man who had committed adultery, cheated landladies, and done other disrepu. table things that Christians abhor. It is strange that God did not select as his partner some trusted preacher of his word - some holy man who never did anything wrong in his life, and whose claim of inspiration would be accepted as true. Why did he not commission some regularly inspired preacher of the gospel, whom he had already called to serve him at a good salary, to murder Mr. Garfield? Was it because he intended to shirk the responsibility and leave his partner in the lurch, and thought he could spare Guiteau better than Beecher or Talmage or some other meek and lowly follower of the cross? Then why did he not select some professional murderer, who by law ought to be hanged anyway, some "Billy the Kid," or some great military leader with the blood of thousands on his hands?

Guiteau is not half as bad a man, even admitting that he is sane, as some of those who figure in history and the Bible as being on familiar terms with Jeho vah. The Lord has had some very wicked partners on earth. One of them led a band of outcasts and cut-throats for forty years, and, acting under direct orders from the head of the firm, occupied himself in murder, rapine, and plunder during a large portion of the time. The partnership between Moses and the Almighty is accepted as a fact upon no better evidence than the alleged statements of Moses himself and there is no proof that Moses was a more truthful man than Guiteau. Ever since the invention of religion certain men have claimed for themselves a divine right to rob, murder, and oppress their fellows-They have called themselves kings, emperors, czars - all partners of the Lord,-and, under authority of the senior member of the concern, have committed colossal crimes, kept hordes of hired murderers busy killing men, robbed millions of human beings of every natural right, violated every principle of morality, lived most vicious lives, died pious deaths and gone straight to eternal glory and everlasting Partners of the Lord have made bonfires of human flesh, broken living human frames upon the rack, and filled the ears of Infinite mercy with the agonized groans of suffering mortality. There is no crime however hideous, no outrage however cowardly, no meanness however despicable, that has no been committed by acknowledged partners of the

No, Guiteau is not too wicked nor too deprayed to be an accomplice of the Almighty, and his claim of divine complicity in his deed rests upon grounds every bit as good and reliable as John Calvin's or Moses's or Kaiser Wilhelm's. If there were any such thing as consistency in Christianity, it would have to either accept him at his own estimation or admit that he is a lunatic; but there is no such thing, and therefore Christian ministers approve of hanging him, while they read "collects" and pray God to forgive his own partner in crime.

A Glorious Meeting.

The mass meeting of trades unions in Cooper Union, New York, on January 30, was the most significant and gratifying move that has been made since the so-called Irish land war began.

The Irish race, by nature of their organism, are easily ridden by superstition. The Pope has always sat in the Irish saddle with greater assurance than in any other. Blood-sucking priests have always found the Irish skin the thinnest to prey upon. With such a people—sympathetic, domestic, and deeply enacted to their traditions—the nationality craze easily finds a lodgment, and short-sighted, designing politicians are ever ready to make use of it in order to divert the attention of the people from the bottom causes of universal industrial slavery.

But this meeting stood on a thoroughly broad and de-nationalizing basis. As the splendid resolutions put it, the Irish cause was "humanity's cause;" it was "Labor's cause." Germans, Russians, Americans, Scotch, English, and Irish,—all clasped the brotherly hand in the grand resolve that the curse of landlordism was not local and national, but universal and human (or rather inhuman), and a part of the great scheme of usury against which Labor is everywhere called upon to wage an uncompromising war of extermination.

In asking the Irish to de-nationalize, as far as possible, their grand struggle, we would by no means look lightly upon their exceptional persecutions as a nation. But were these persecutions simply political and national, the Irish would have no especial claim to the co-operation of other nationalities. Since, however, the curse which afflicts them is one which threatens, and actually afflicts, more or less, the working masses of every other nation, they simply assert a just demand when they call upon working people everywhere to stand by them.

To attempt to argue down a superstition is the slowest of processes. Here and there a level-headed

Irishman has sense enough to brush aside the ridiculous nonsense of expecting an "Irish republic" to do better by those who labor than does the ruling British machine. But these men are exceptions, and their voice is easily rub-a-dubbed down by the blatant nationalists.

It is useless to remind these rub-a-dub-dub, Irish republic, national flag enthusiasts that this American republic is severer on the tenant class, under its laws, than is England; but perhaps we can put it in another form with more effect. Place the Irish landlord class of America beside the Irish rent-paying class. Is the former any less merciless to its tenants than is the English landlord in Ireland? If the Irish landlord in this American republic is a usurious blood-hound, would he be anything less in an Irish republic? No, it is the system that must be crushed, and the system thrives just as audaciously under one form of government as another. The fact is that the State, without monopoly and usury as its main pillars, ceases to be the State.

It will take long to get these bottom facts into the heads of the masses, but such meetings as the one in Cooper Union are most gratifying helps in that direction. The masterly genius which moves the "Irish World" was never displayed to greater credit than on that occasion, and Liberty shouts thrice, Bravot upon the whole affair.

A Game That Two Can Play At.

Would that we could command the satire of Voltaire and the invective of William Cobbett to flay the hypocritical bigots whose virtuous indignation is stirred at the existence of polygamy in this pious nation!

A Simon-pure, honest, square monogamist is a man who "keeps" one woman, and only one, whom he calls his wife. So long as the "keeping" of this woman is voluntary and mutual, it is nobody's business, even though some clergyman may score a five-dollar fee out of it.

But the kind of pious fraud whose holy indignation is stirred at the lustful Mormon is not a square, openhanded monogamist. He keeps two, three, or five women. One of these, whom he deceives and betrays and over whose liberty he wields absolute despotism, is known as his wife. This fellow is a polygamist at heart, but in the place of the openhanded, above-board transaction of the Mormon, he substitutes "nest-hiding," fraud, cowardice, and hypocrisy.

It is unnecessary to say that Liberty, though opposed to the whole "keeping" system as the degradation of a passion that should be pure and noble, denies the right of the State to say to any man whether he shall "keep" one, two, five, twenty, or one hundred women, or to any woman whether she shall "keep" corresponding numbers of men. Our pious legislators would be the very worst sufferers by such a law, even if it were possible to execute it. But even those who are honestly free from the practice of polygamy are committing an unmitigated piece of impudence and despotism when they attempt to deny to any man the right to "keep" just as many women as he pleases with his own money, and at his and their sole cost.

But the lecherous politicians of Washington, the lawyers and usurers who waste the people's wealth on women and wine,—these make up the holy conclave that proposes to visit the Mormon households and destroy their homes.

Luckily, the Mormons have hit upon a spike game. They have been carefully canvassing the number of practical polygamists among the Washington congressmen. When pushed to the wall, they propose to publish the results of their investigations to the American public, and deliver sealed copies to the accredited wives of these virtuous political saints. Ten to one that the Mormons have already effectively spiked the enemy's guns! The monogamists and polygamists threaten to become terribly mixed, and we hope that in the confusion all will conclude to mind their own business.

A Review of German Socialism.

At the last elections to the German Reichstag thirteen can didates of the Social Democracy were successful. This fact has added to the world-wide interest in German socialism, but the lamentable ignorance and misapprehension concerning that movement still prevail. Its true history and real significant cance are concisely and admirably set forth in the following ontline sketch, which is horrowed from "Le Révolté":

When the bold and success-crowned agitation of Lassalle had once started the labor movement in Germany, there im mediately appeared a goodly number of talented men, capable ating with statesmanlike clairvoyan in its full extent and all its consequences and of comprehend ing the advantages in the future which it offered to the pions of the new party. These men at once ranged them selves by the side of the Universal Society of German Labor

ers (Aligemeiner Deutscher Arbeiter-Verein).

After the premature death of Lassalle, and in consequence of the questionable management of President Schweitzer, a crisis occurred, from which, nevertheless, the labor movement erged triumphant, though divided into two hostile factions

(1) The party of the Lassallians, under the leadership of clever and Hasselmann, whose journalistic organ the "New Social Democrat." This party confined itself to an orthodox observance of the doctrines expounded by Lassalie

(2) The Party of Eisenach, under the leadership of Lieb

cht and Bebel,-the former having converted the latter from an advocate of the ideas of Schultze-Delitzsch (indu credit, &c.) and a deadly enemy of socialism into a well grounded socialist. This party, with the aid of its journal the "Popular State" (Volksstant), more and more developed communistic ideas, always in the direction of authority and centralization by the Popular State, a phrase expressive of the ideal of Messrs. Marx and Engels and their faithful disciple, Liebknecht.

The war of Prussia against Austria and the victory of the former country, combined with the annexation of Hanover and Hesse-Cassel, led to the establishment of the Confedera tion of North Germany (Norddeutscher Bund) and the Par liament of North Germany (Norddeutsches Zollparlament)
To popularize these political automata, viewed with disfavor people, the iron chancellor (so Bismarck was called) gave the people universal suffrage in parliamentary election which Lassalle had previously demanded in elections to the

iasm upon "this new weapon for the enfranchisement of the people from the yoke of class-rule;" then it was that these hostile brethren sought for accordance. stile brethren sought for ascendency each over the other and that such accusations as "sold Prussians" (the Lassal liane) and "agents welfes" (the Party of Eisenach)—that agents in the pay of the ex-king of Hanover—multiplied.

The Franco-German war, with the reconstruction of the German Empire and the transformation of the Parlia ment of North Germany into the Parliament of the Empire (Reichstag) on a basis of universal suffrage, extended still further the parliamentary agitation of the Social Democrats. And in spite of the excellent pamphlet by Lieb-knecht "On the Political Attitude of the Social Democracy, Especially in Relation to the Reichstag," in which he sh very clearly the impossibility of the enfranchisement of the people by parliamentary methods and the inconvenience to laborers of participation in elections, and while crying: "No peace with the present regime! And war on the de universal suffrage!" the Party of Eisenach, under the leader-ship of this same Liebknecht, gave all its efforts to the enlist-ment of German workingmen in the parliamentary struggle and to the choice of the largest possib deputies as members of that parliament where "one can only sacrifice his principles," because "principles are indivisible, and must be either completely maintained or completely sacrificed," for "he who treats with the enemy parleys, and he who parleys compromises.

The two factions of the Social Demo racy were pelled to see that they principally injured themselves in fight ther so furiously, while really having in view a com-ct. Both desired social reform through the State ing each other so furi Little by little they came together, and in 1875 at the congress of Gotha they achieved a consolidation in the Socialistic Workingmen's Party, after which they rapidly advanced from to another.

It undoubtedly will seem very strange to our readers that the Liebknechts and their fellows, while seeing so clearly the futility of participation in elections, should nevertheless have urge (and with success) the laboring masses into the electoral path. But, on the one hand, while continually achieving these apparent successes in the election of socialistic deputies, they could and did say to the workingmen: "Oh! ed to take part in the elections, not to be deputies, but only to count votes, to ascertain the number of our followers, which it is impossible to find out otherwise, and above all, to profit by the general excitement attending an electoral campaign in successfully developing our principles at political rallies;" and, on the other hand, it should not be forgotten that the German workingman, long accustomed to

blindly follow a few men either in one direction or another, could not easily shake off this habit, it having entered, so to

The workingman saw in all these agitators and editors of ects-founded one after another and paid for of his pocket—sincere friends of the people, incapable of har-boring any other thought than the immediate enfranchisement ought than the imm le. He forgot that these men, undoubtedly devoted with all their hearts to the interests of the party when they t,—whose speeches were always denunciatory of the oined it,-whose speeches were always den rity of the position created for them, view the situation less darkly from the simple fact that they no longer ran the laborm the simple fact that they no longer ran the labor er's risk of being thrown at any hour upon the paver e mercy of an employer,-one of the chief c social revolution.

And finally, it must be said, it was easier for the working to follow the advice of eloquent men than to take upon him self the heavy burden of thinking for himself and investigat

ing for himself the grave questions then coming to the fo But while the num ber of votes for the socialistic candidate kept on increasing at every election, it became evident tha dy there were a certain number of laborers who were scarcely Social Democrats, but Anarchists rather, for n did they repudiate electoral tactics, but denied also this pre tended beneficence of the Popular State; they were opported all authority, of all submission of minorities to major ajorities

No doubt there had been in Germany for a long time learne men who, in their social studies, had occupied themselves with anarchistic theories; nevertheless, the fruits of these studies had scarcely seen the light and had not entered the heads of the laborers. Not until 1875 did a few German workingmen mbrace and publicly defend the anarchistic ideas.

As was to be expected after the methods employed by the Social Democrats against the Anarchists in other countries, the most distinguished men of the Social Democratic party of Germany and Switzerland were not slow in beginning a deadly strugglo against these sincere and disinterested workingmen nom they were pleased to honor with such titles as "maden," "lunatics," "hired agents of sedition," "spies," and many other pleasant appellations. Their hatred of them was the greater inasmuch as many of these "madmen" had merly been for many years very sealous agitators for this party of "scientific socialism," and therefore were a to the sorrow of the leaders-with troublesome facts that nad occurred within the committees.

The foundation of an Anarchistic journal in the Germ at Berne in 1876 was not calculated to anger of these system-making gentlemen. With all possible variations they repeated the most infamous slanders against the Anarchists. And, in spite of that, it was impossib stiffe the movement by such means. The Anarchists soot found adherents in several such German cities as Berlin, Lelp zig, Magdeburg, Munich, and other places. Then at the u versal socialistic congress of Gand in 1877 two German dele ates appeared to defend anarchistic principles. There it was that, one of the delegates having said, in reply to the reproaches of Greulich "that it was easy to preach anarchisticides in free Switzerland, but that they should do the same in nany," "Yes, that is just what we mean to do," Lieb knecht, rising excitedly, cried out: "Dare, then, to come into Germany to attack our organization, and we will annihilate you by every possible means!"

They tried hard to keep this promise, but unsuccessfully. The anarchistic idea spread through Germany further and

further.

The year 1878 followed, and the att biling on the life of the emperor. The Reichstag voted the nous law against the "extravagances" of the Social Dem-Nobili ocracy, the law which suppresses the whole socialistic press and all socialistic societies and assemblies; the law which permits the dissolution and prohibition of every assembly in which there may be a Socialist; the law which allows the regional authority to ask permission of the federal council o declare the minor state of siege, in order that each suspe citizen may be expelled as dangerous to the general safety. One would suppose that, after the commission of such an aci by the Reichstag, the Social Democrats would have abstained m attendance on a parliament which tramples under foo the last vestiges of equality and justice. Far from that, the majority of the socialistic deputies, under the pretext of de-fending "every inch" of legal ground still left to them, continued to sit among their implacable enemies, ready to assent to all measures, even the most violent, against the Socialists.

Nevertheless, everybody did not agree with them, and after the exceptional law a good portion of the Social Democrati separated themselves from the legal party,—among them Has-selmann and Most. Another portion declared themselves against further participation in elections, proclaiming revolutionary tactics. Others withdrew altogether, and withdrew, too, from fear of the persecutions which socialistic agitation involves. A large portion of the socialistic laborers still re-main in the legal path, as the last elections prove; in spite of that it may be affirmed that a considerable number of workingmen have abandoned the idea of the enfranchisement of

the people by legal means.

The club of German communists at London has founded

the social-revolutionary journal, "Fretheit" (Freedom), whose first editor, Johann Most, was condenined at London for an article on the death of Alexander II. On every occasion, and lately à propos of the German elections, this journal has de-clared itself in favor of electoral abstention and revolutionary ropagandism. But it must not be for gotten that its for have often improved an opportunity to declare themselves revolutionary Social Democrats in order to fix it in their reconstronary Social Democrats in grace to us it in their readers' minds that their object, also, is the Popular State. Nevertheless, it should be recognized that from the beginning the journal has permitted free discussion in its columns, which the journals of the parliamentary party have never done and perhaps that is the reason why th nore and more anarchistic, and why the ideas discussed in its successive number. Indeed, nothing else was to be expected, for in free discussion the anti-authority idea will always triumph over authority ideas of whatever sort. In spite of the continual prosecutions brought by the police against every man suspected of receiving it, the "Freiheit" is widely read in Germany. Besides the journal thousands of tracts on different subjects have been scattered throughout Germany,for instance, "To Our Brothers in the Barracks" (destined exclusively for circulation in the army), "The Revolutionary ocracy," "The Madness of Property," "Elec

Abstention," and many others.

It is certain that such an agitation often calls for victims from our ranks, and we should be carried too far, were we to attempt to count all our companions who have had to suffer for their zeal; let it suffice to remind our readers of the late

Another proof that our brothers in Germany are not only ring to organize the masses for the revolution, but also idiate the whole idea of authority, so inimical to the de finitive enfranchisement of humanity, is the attitude of the in delegates to the revolutionary congress at Lon

urgeoisie do what it will, let the summit of the Let the & oppressive class strive to suppress our agitation, let friends," the editors of the "Social Democrat" and the other "great men" of the parliamentary party, treat us as "mad-men," "spics," and "hired agents of sedition," and libel us in any way that pleases them,—none of these things shall prevent our ideas from spreading, new adherents from joining us every day, or even our misfo rtunes from finding us t

We are sure that the day will come when the champions of the Popular State will no longer be able to command even the 280,000 votes now remaining to them out of the 800,000 of which Braun d'Altona was the representative. We, the Revolutionary Anarchists of Germany, shall do our est to strip the political intriguers of their remain strength, and, the old idols once overthrown, the people will erstand that there is no necessity for creating new ones; relieved of all prejudices and of that bad habit of allowing themselves to be led, they will freely organize themselves for the final struggles, for the truly GREAT REVOLUTION, and, the struggle over, will know how to organize for other purposes without the aid of all these "scientific" men who pretend to und the philosopher's stone in the Popular State.

The Weakness of Compulsory Credit. The following extract from a speech recently delivered by homas P. Bayard in the United States senate shows that a voice for Liberty is sometimes heard even in the halls of

I argued and voted against the coercive principle which co pelled any citizen of the United States, any person in the Unifed States, artificial or natural, any set of citizens who had their money invested in bank stocks or not in bank stocks, to take any obligation of the government perforce and under compulsion. I believed then, and I believe now, that, whenit is necessary to accompany your demand for cred a threat, you weaken that credit and do not strengthen it. think it is a symbol of weakness, and not of strength, for the nent to make either its demand notes or its bonds an enforced legal tender upon any body. It did not add one stiver to the value of the treasury notes issued in time of war. It did not prevent their depreciation one penny when disaster threatened the government that issued them and its credit was threatened to be weakened by disaster. Your bonds are not to be made stronger, they are not to be held with more confidence, by fixing upon them any feature of compulsory accept-ance by the banks, or by individuals, or by anybody, foreign ince by the be or domestic. It is a mistake to suppose so.

The Free Religious Association, expecting to found the Church of the Future, has decided to give a certain sum of oney to some young man who desires to fit himself to pres spel. One condition attached to its gift is that he its go its gospel. One condition attached to its gitt is that he be a college graduate. Neither Thomas Paine, Herbert Spencer, nor William Shakspere could have applied for the fund. Fortunately, such men can get through the world without any such aid. The Liberalism that thinks more of college culture than native talent and true moral power and enthusiasm is a base pharisaism that thanks its stars that it is not like other men .- George Chainey.

THOMAS PAINE'S MONUMENT.

u hast no need of me Or that men pile up granite to the heavens
Lest thy deeds be forgotten, or thy words
Cease to be household memories. For there stands A monument to thee erect by hate, Enduring as is time, or love of truth, And right, and noble deeds. For thy great deeds Fill all the base with late, and thy true words— The inspiration to all noble degds —
Make heroes of the good, and win their love.
To that cloud-plereing shaft, each adds a stone
Who claims to rule o'er man by right divine; Or who, for favor, wealth, or love of place, Or who, for favor, wealth, or love of place, Serves in the ranks that uphold yrannles; All who would forge a fetter for a slave, And drive him to his unrequited toil, Or fix a brand upon a feeble race. To breed mer slaves, like cattle, for the mart, Or would seal up the eyelids of the mind, That men may walk in darkness, as of old, When a blind fate, or ire of gods, made death The penalty for knowledge. Hen have built Temples and abrines, all decorate with art, and worshipsed one as God, who came to brind. The pennity for anowedge, when have unit Temples and abrines, all decorate with art, And worshiped one as God, who came to bring. Not white-robed Peace, but the avenging aword The acourged and grueffied, whose mournful et 'Oh why, my God, hast thou forsaken me?' Bounds down the ages; yielding up his life But for his kindred. It was thins to brane An ignominious death for one who knew No claim to service or to thy regard, Sase that he was thins enemy,—a king And the oppressor of his people. Thins To counsel mercy to the man, but death To the oppression only, and the claim To rule by right divine. Thy monument? It is the love of all the good; thy words of wisdom, when the counsellors were dumb; Of courage, when the spectre of despair Of courage, when the spectre of despair
Appalled the bravest. In the tented field,
Where, by the campfirer, naked peasants' feet
Tracked the white snow with blood, and the gaunt face Of hunger pled more piteous than words; Or where the leaders of the host were met Despondent of the issue, and none dared Despondent of the issue, and none dared Utter the word that trembled on the lips, Utter the word that trembled on the lips,
Thy voice proclaimed it, and thy eloquent pen
Winged the announcement through all the land.
The starving soldier, by the filekoring light
Of the red watch fire, spelled the stirring words,
And every hamlet echoed with the ery,
"The States United, Independent, Free." These, also, are thy monuments. But more These, also, are thy monuments. But more Than spires that reach to heaven, or flourishing 8t That, with their commerce, whites all the seas, Is this great lesson that thy life hath taught: "The State is for man, not man for the State; And all the pomp and circumstance of state Are but for him, and for his happiness!"

This, thy great truth, is thy best monument.

SIMBON PALMER.

England and the Czardom.

The following is the closing portion of an interesting letter received, not long since, by Liberty, from one of her num friends across the Atlantic:

As one who has lived in Russia, and as a stanch ad of Michael Bakounine, I thank you for the portrait you have given us of this most excellent man, earnest patrio flinching enemy of despotism. Further, I have to thank you for the straightforward, manly way in which you have referred to him, setting off his likeness in the most honorable frame the Apostle of Anarchy could desire, —a record of his ow brave deeds. His escape from Siberia should alone be enoug to deserve undying fame. But for such unselfish pioneers o Liberty, you and I would still be as his countrymen are.

Before this reaches you the English magazines for Decen will be in your hands. May I ask your attention to an article in "Fraser" on "The New Departure in Russia," by O. K.? You have doubtless seen some of this lady's pen and ink performances before, but I doubt if she has ever written anything so daring in untruth and reaction previously. To me it is clear that this article is written for reproduction in Russia. It will be read by some thousands in this country alone, the greater number of whom will be influenced by party passion in their judgment, and not at all by a knowledge of the subject. For I regret to say that the whole demeanor of England towards Russia is a ludicrous anachronism. Russia is a slow and conservative country. Its government and conservative country. Its government, as every on-knows, is autocratic, despote, damnable. And yet this is the above all others, that Liberal England takes under its wing, shields, defends against the attacks of the Tories, who alone seem to recognize (of course, for their own purposes the systematic coercion and intriguing determination by which intinually penetrates further into the territory of inde-ent tribes, oppressing them—hitherto free—with the pendent tribes, oppressing them — hitherto free — with the same kind of bondage as that which, with cruel consistency, it inflicts upon its own people. Surely, parties in this country should change their relative positions! As a radical I am disgusted with what I see every week in our press, - slavish

truthful exposures on the part of the Liberal papers, while, on the other hand, the Conservative press, led by the "Telegraph," loses no opportunity of venting party spicen on a government and on institutions which are essentially of a conservative na-I carnestly trust that English Liberals will soon per the foolish attitude they have assumed, bravely admit their error, and consistently withdraw from the position. Mean time, I am obliged to support a party I otherwise detest, in se far as its foreign policy in this particular is concerned.

Excuse a man's hobby, dear friend Tucker, when it does no harm to others, but rather good. Russia is my hobby. It is a large one, and I find much in it to admire. If it could only ed in establishing a republic and in disbanding its twarmies, the Tchinornikes (officials) and Soldates (sol great arm diers), — the curse of every country, but especially the curse of Russia, — a vast slice of this earth would be returned to its primitive use, - that of furnishing an abode for a naturally yith "earth-hunger," whose great fault for some centuries has been the belief that life is not worth living without a czar and

With best wishes, I am sincerely yours,

LONDON, December 8, 1881.

PARKTADROUWT.

The Two Guiteaus.

To the Editor of Liberty :

I was lately riding in the cars with "a God-fearing and a God-serving man," who represents the old type of orthodox Christian and is a leading pillar in one of our churches. Knowing that he was one of the Rhode Island State Board of Prisons, Charities, and Correction, I asked him, in connection with the Guiteau trial:
"Do you believe in capital punishment, sir?"

"Well, sir," he replied, "on rational, human, utilitarian rounds I do not, but, inasmuch as God's will, as expressed in his Holy Word, is above my weak, fallible human reason, I am compelled to believe in it, and therefore I believe that Guiteau ought to be hung."

crein is this Christian's position any different from Suiteau's? In order to make it plain let me put the two posi tions side by side.

GUITEAU.

Guiteau.

I do not believe in ktiling, and would not, of myself, harm a fly. I personally bore no ill will to Garfield, but, innamuch as God's will is above mine, I obeyed the divine command and obeyed the divine command and killed the president. I am sorry I caused him so much suffering; but God's will be done, and not

ORTHODOX FELLOW CHRIS-

I do not believe in judicial killing. It is contrary to my human feelings. I personally would not kill Guiteau, but, inwould not kill Guiteau, but, in-namuch as God's will is above mine, I succumb to the divine command. I am sorry for the poor ill-starred fellow in his sufferinge, but, in my capacity as a Christian citizen, I obey

If Gulteau is hung, the Christian State will murder bim in accordance with the very same logic which it professes to abbor in him. Is any further comment necessary with your intelligent readers ? CRANKUS.

Light from the Laborers.

The following are the resolutions passed by the mass meet ng of trades unions recently held in New York and referred to at greater length in another column :

Resolved. That labor has the chiefest interest at stake in every ase affecting economie administration in all countries, since labor is asked to feed, clothe, and fatten landlords, usurers ists, politicians, and all the unproductive army enslave it.

Resolved, That the issue between landlord and tenant in reland, and in every other country, is but one of the phases of the labor question; that, since rent is an immoral tax upon productive labor, its infliction upon the oppressed of any land makes labor in every other country its natural ally and

Resolved. Therefore, that the working people of every other country, irrespective of race, language, creed, and color, are morally bound to stand by Ireland in this her hour of need, and that the voice of this mammoth gathering of the trades unions of America should be seconded in every country where the voice of labor is not utterly stifled by savage absolutist and repressi

Resolved, That, while we recognize Ireland to be the mos woful victim of landlordism, through especially iniquitou laws and governmental administration, we are chiefly assembled to emphasize the fact that the bottom causes of landlordism land monopoly and rent-are not local, but universal curses, inflicted upon labor, and against which labor is everywhere

rented upon moor, and against which moor is everywheating.

Resolved, That we, nevertheless, recognize in the heroic norent stand in Ireland that this long-persecuted and rent-ridden isle is fighting the grandest battle and wielding the most effec-

adulation of Russian institutions and an utter absence of tive artillery that ever confronted landlordism; that her battle manity's battle; that her cause is labor's cause; a workingmen of America here represented do, therefore, heartily endorse her righteous methods, and solemnly pron every means of support, co-operation, and sympathy within

St. James on Liberty.

[From the Memphis "Free Trader."]

"But whose looketh into the perfect Law of Liberty and con-tinueth therein, he being, not a forgetful hearer, but a doer of the work, this man shall be blessed in his deed."—General Epistis of

When the people of the earth arc sufficiently Christianized to adopt that "perfect law of liberty and con two-thirds of all the sorrow and suffering that afflict hum-ity will end. It is a melancholy reflection, it is a dark s on, it is a dark and depressing reflection, that all the blood ever shed on earth, deptessing remetion, that the devel will wrong, came from that desire which the devil puts into the souls of men, to hold rule over their fellow mortals. This devilish desire to others is directly contrary to the "perfect law of liberty" taught by St. James.

An Unexpected Compliment.

[From the Detroit "Labor Review."]

While we belong to exactly the opposite school of social philosophy as does our friend Liberty, yet we cannot but admire its consistency and bold and aggressive attitude. It is refreshing to read a paper that says what it knows and what it wants. It is so unlike the thousand and one papers that do not or cannot distinguish between the philosophies of com-munism and individualism, and who adhere to that bastard political economy that breeds monopolies and corruption. We earnestly wish Liberty success, so that the people can readily learn the legitimate and logical conclusions of the two different

Just Published:

A GOOD WORD FOR THE DEVIL.

BIBLE MUSINGS BY AN INFIDEL.

BY SIMEON PALMER.

A satire in rhyme on the Bible and Christian superstition. Keen, vitty, learned, powerful. 136 pp. , post-paid, 50 cents. Address: BENJ. R. TUCKER, Box 3366, Boston, Mass.

PORTRAITS OF PROUDHON.

A N.ELEGANT STEEL-PLATE PORTRAIT, suitable to fram and hung, of P. J. PROUDHON, the profoundest politica philosopher and economist that has ever lived, will be mailed, post paid and securely wrapped, to any address on receipt of 75 cents.

Address: BENJ. R. TÜCKER, Box 3366, Boston, Mass.

LIBERTY'S LIBRARY.

For any of the following Works, address,

BENJ. R. TUCKER, Box 3366, Boston, Mass.

TRUE CIVILIZATION: A Subject of vital and serious Interest to all People, but most immediately to the Men and Women of Labor and Sorrow. By Josiah Warren. A Pamphict of 17 pages, now passing through its fifth edition, explaining the basic principles of Labor Reform, — Liberty and Equity. Price 30 cents.

WHAT IS PROPERTY? Or an Inquiry into the Principle of Right and of Government. By P. J. Proudhon. Prefaced by a Sketch of Proudhon's Life and Works, and containing as a Frontispiece a fine steel Engraving of the Author. Translated from the French by Benj. R. Tucker. A systematic, thorough, and radical discussion of the institution of property,—its basis, its history, its present status, and its deatiny,—together with a detailed and startling expose of the crimes which it commits, and the evils which it engenders. 500 pages octavo. Price, cloth, \$3.80; full calf, blue, gilt edges, \$6.60.

THE RADICAL REVIEW: Vol. I., handsomely bound in cloth, and containing over sixty Essays, Poems, Translations, and Reviews, by the most prominent radical writers, on industrial, financial, social, literary, scientific, philosophical, ethical, and religious subjects. 828 pages octavo. Price, \$5.00. Single numbers, \$1.15.

SO THE RAILWAY KINGS ITCH FOR AN Empire, Do they? By a "lied-Hot Striker," of Scranton, Pa. A Reply to an article by William M. Grosvenor in the International Review. Price, 10 cents; per hundred, \$4.00.

PROSTITUTION AND THE INTERNA-tional Woman's League. By Henry Edger. Price, 15 cents.

THE LABOR DOLLAR. By Stephen Pearl An-

CAPTAIN ROLAND'S PURSE: How It is Filled and How Emptied. By John Ruskin. The first of a pro-jected series of Labor Tracts. Supplied at 37 cents per hundred.

ANARCHISM OR ANARCHY? A Discussion between William H. Tillinghast and Benj. R. Tubler. Prefaced by an Open Letter to Rev. William J. Potter. For the receipt of a postage stamp.

comprehensive, and very entertaining Exposition of the Working People's International Association By William B. Greene. Price, 15 cents. INTERNATIONAL ADDRESS:

THE WORKING WOMEN: A Letter to the Rev. Henry W. Foote, Minister of King's Chapel, in Vindication of the Poorer Class of Boston Working Women. By William B. Greene. Price, 13 cent.